

EXECUTIVE – 10 JANUARY 2017

QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

In accordance with Procedure Rule 10, Mr Michael Hyman of Farnham has submitted the following question:-

“In the 29 December edition of the Farnham Herald, the Chairman of the SCC-WBC Local (Transport) Committee announced that an “exciting” new proposal, backed by our MP, is about to be unveiled to solve the town’s traffic, pedestrian and air quality problems.

However, it is evident that the traffic modelling for the new ‘solution’ does not include modelling of Crest Nicholson / Sainsbury’s East Street pedestrianisation proposals, and given that SCC cannot be claiming that they intend to implement both Crest’s and Mr Hunt’s plans separately and in isolation, this indicates that SCC Highways accept that they can no longer support or implement CNS” impractical and discredited road scheme.

Such a major shift in SCC”s position would require a significant redesign of the Brightwells project, and as Waverley’s existing AQAP and Local Plan are both founded upon an assumption that Crest’s scheme will be installed, **I ask that you provide a definitive statement of the Executive’s position in respect of whether or not it is still your intention that Crest’s traffic/pedestrianisation proposals will be implemented.”**

The response to the question is as follows:-

“Surrey County Council as Highway Authority has confirmed that any future town centre highway schemes for Farnham will also need to work in connection with the approved Brightwells development. The scheme is extant and capable of implementation.”